

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/884,698	06/19/2001	Kazuyoshi Hidaka	JP920000020US1	JP920000020US1 4043	
30743	7590 06/09/200:	;	EXAMINER		
	M, CURTIS & CHRIS	GARG, YOGESH C			
11491 SUN SUITE 340	SET HILLS ROAD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
RESTON, VA 20190			3625		
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/884,698	HIDAKA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Yogesh C. Garg	3625		

Advisory Action	09/884,698	HIDAKA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Yogesh C. Garg	3625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
E REPLY FILED 10 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi 		e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		and the appropriate ext	encion fee have			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stated above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comforming the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be seen filed.	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS		6 20 Al	.			
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ampliant Amandman	(DTOL 224)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompilani Amendmen	(PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>22-48</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	All for a second the state of fillings and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a f nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal Will I	not be entered is necessary			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ills to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
See Note on the COntinuation sheet 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13. Other:	(1 10/30/00 01 1 10-1443)1 apar	4/10				
	7	Yogesh C Garg Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3625				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: (a) Amendments to independent claims 22, 32, and 44 has resulted in change of the breadth of claims 22, 32, 44 and their dependencies 23, 27-31, 33-34, 39-43, and 45, which is also admitted by the applicant, see Remarks, page 11, lines 17-19, "whereas the award of redemption points is required by the amended versions of independent claims 22, 32, and 44, from which the other claims of the claimed invention depend".

(b) Applicant's arguments filed (see Remarks, 10-12) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As analyzed in the previous Final office action, Walker reads on the claimed inventions of the instant application. The applicant has argued that Walker's disclosure of currency based incentives do not equate to the redemption points. The examiner disagrees because both the disclosure (see paragraphs 0053-0056 of the Published Applicant's application # 2002/0023017 A1) teaches that points, that is redemption points refer to "privilege credits" or a value on privileges afforded and the value of privilege credits. The value of privilege credits or of points finally results in monetary value and that corresponds to the currency incentives or discounts or a predetermined compensation (see col.2, lines 11-30 and col.3, 36-54) disclosed in Walker.